

## Employment of only row transformation

Theorem: If  $A$  be a  $m \times n$  matrix of rank  $r$  then  $\exists$  a non-singular matrix  $P$  such that

$$PA = \begin{bmatrix} G_r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where,  $G_r$  is an  $r \times n$  matrix of rank  $r$  &  $\begin{matrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{matrix}$  is  $(m-r) \times n$ .

Proof: Since  $A$  is a  $m \times n$  matrix of rank  $r$  therefore  $\exists$  non-singular matrices  $P$  &  $Q$  such that

$$PAQ = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ --- (1)}$$

Now, every non-singular matrix can be expressed as a product of elementary matrices.

$$\text{Let, } Q = Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_t$$

where  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_t$  are all elementary matrices.

Thus, the relation (1) can be written as

$$PAQ_1 Q_2 \dots Q_t = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ --- (2)}$$

Now, every column transformation of a matrix is equivalent to post multiplication with the corresponding  $E$ -matrix. Since no column transformation can affect the last

$(m-r)$  rows of the write hand side of equ<sup>n</sup> ②  
 Therefore, by post multiplying the LHS of equ<sup>n</sup>  
 ② by the E - matrices  $\otimes T^{-1}, \otimes T \otimes_{t-1}^{-1}, \otimes_{t-1}^{-1}, \dots, \otimes_{t-1}^{-1}$   
 $\otimes_{t-1}^{-1} \otimes_{t-1}^{-1} \dots \otimes_{t-1}^{-1} \otimes_{t-1}^{-1}$  successively & effecting the  
 corresponding column transformation of the  
 RHS of equ<sup>n</sup> ② we get

$$PA = \begin{bmatrix} G \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

. Since E-transformation doesnot alter  
 the rank therefore the rank of the matrix  
 PA is the same as that of the matrix A  
 which is  $r$ .

Page 187

### Employment of only column transformation

Theorem  $\circ$  If A be a  $m \times n$  matrix of rank  $r$   
 then  $\exists$  a non-singular matrix Q such that

$$AQ = \begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ of rank } r$$

where, H is an  $m \times r$  matrix, & 0 is an  $m \times (n-r)$

Proof  $\circ$  Since A is an  $m \times n$  matrix of rank  $r$ , therefore  
 $\exists$  non-singular matrices P & Q such that

$$PAQ = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ --- ①}$$

Now, every non-singular matrix can be expressed as the product of elementary matrices. So, let

$P = P_1 P_2 \dots P_s$ , where  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s$  are elementary matrices.

Thus, the relation (i) can be written as

$$P_1 P_2 \dots P_s AB = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Now, every E-row transformation of a matrix is equivalent to pre-multiplication with the corresponding elementary matrix. Again no row transformation can affect the last  $n-r$  columns of  $\begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Therefore, pre-multiplying the L.H.S. of (ii) by the elementary matrices  $P_1^{-1}, P_2^{-1}, \dots, P_s^{-1}$  successively and effecting the corresponding transformations of R.H.S. of (ii) we get a relation of the form  $AB = \begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Now elementary transformations do not alter the rank. Therefore the rank of the matrix  $AB$  is the same as that of  $A$  which is  $r$ . Thus the rank of the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is  $r$  and therefore the rank of the matrix  $H$  is also  $r$  as the matrix  $H$  has  $r$  columns and the last  $n-r$  columns of the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  consist of zeros only.

Q. 10

The rank of a product :

Theorem : The rank of a product of two matrices can not exceed the rank of either matrix.

Proof : Let, A & B be two  $m \times n$  &  $n \times p$  matrices respectively. Let,  $r_1, r_2$  be the rank of A & B respectively & let,  $r$  be the rank of the product AB.

To prove that  $r \leq r_1$  &  $r \leq r_2$ .

Since A is a  $m \times n$  matrix of rank  $r_1$  therefore  $\exists$  a non-singular matrix P such that

$$PA = \begin{bmatrix} G \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where G is an  $r_1 \times n$  matrix of rank  $r$  & 0 is  $(m - r_1) \times n$  ~~the of the~~

$$\therefore PAB = \begin{bmatrix} G \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} B$$

Since, the rank of a matrix does not alter by multiplying with a non-singular matrix

$$\therefore \text{Rank}(PAB) = \text{Rank}(AB) = r.$$

$$\therefore \text{Rank of the matrix } \begin{bmatrix} G \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} B = r$$

Since the matrix G has only  $r_1$  non-zero rows therefore the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} G \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} B$  can not have more than  $r_1$  non zero rows, which arise

by multiplying the  $\pi_1$  non-zero rows of B with the columns of B.

$\therefore$  Rank of the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} B$  is  $\leq \pi_1$

i.e,  $\rho(AB) \leq$  rank of the pre-factor A

$$\rho(AB) \leq \rho(A)$$

$$\text{Again, } \pi = \rho(AB) = \rho(\overline{AB})' = \rho(B'A') \leq \rho(B') = \rho(B) = \pi_2$$

i.e,  $\pi \leq \pi_2$ .

i.e,  $\rho(AB) \leq \rho(B)$  rank of the post factor B.

Hence proved.

20/9/19

### Assignment

Topic: Invariance of <sup>row</sup> rank under E-<sub>A</sub> <sup>column</sup> row transformation.

#### Theorem

① Row equivalent matrices have the same row rank. <sub>201</sub>

② Row equivalent matrices have the same column rank. <sub>202</sub>

③ If  $\pi$ , be the row rank of an  $m \times n$  matrix A then there exists a non-singular matrix, P such that

$$PA = \begin{bmatrix} K \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where, K is an  $\pi \times n$  matrix consisting of a set of  $\pi$  linearly independent rows of A. <sub>203</sub>

19/19

## Rank of a sum :

Theorem : Rank of the sum of two matrices cannot exceed the sum of their rank.

Proof : Let,  $A$  &  $B$  be two matrices of the same type. Let,  $S_A, S_B, S_{A+B}$  denote the row spaces of the matrices  $A, B, A+B$  respectively. Let,  $S$  denote the subspace generated jointly by the rows of  $A$  as well as the rows of  $B$ .

Now, the no. of members in a basis of  $S$  must be less than or equal to the sum of the nos of members in the basis of  $A$  &  $B$ .

$$\therefore \text{Dimension } S \leq \text{Dimension } S_A + \text{Dimension } S_B$$

Again, the row spaces  $S_{A+B}$  is a sub space of  $S$

$$\therefore \text{Dimension } S_{A+B} \leq \text{Dimension } S$$

$$\therefore \text{Dimension } S_{A+B} \leq \text{Dimension } S_A + \text{Dimension } S_B$$

$$\therefore \text{Row rank}(A+B) \leq \text{Row rank}(A) + \text{Row rank}(B)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Rank}(A+B) \leq \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) \quad [ \because \text{Row rank and rank of a matrix are equal.} ]$$

\*\*\*

Theorem : If  $A, B$  are two  $n$  rowed square matrices  
then  $\text{Rank}(AB) \geq \text{Rank } A + \text{Rank } B - n$  ✓

Proof : Let,  $r$  be the rank of matrix  $A$  then  $\exists$  two  
non-singular matrix  $P$  &  $Q \Rightarrow$

$$PAQ = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ --- (1)}$$

Now, premultiplying both sides of (1) by  $P^{-1}$  we get -

$$\Rightarrow PP^{-1}AQ = P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow I AQ = P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow AQ = P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ --- (2)}$$

Postmultiplying both sides of (2) by  $Q^{-1}$  we get -

$$AQ Q^{-1} = P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow AI = P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow A = P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}$$

Let us now consider another matrix  $C$

$$C = P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}$$

Then,  $A+C = P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} + P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}$

$$= P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}$$

$$= P^{-1} I_n Q^{-1}$$

$$= P^{-1} Q^{-1}$$

Thus,  $A+C$  is a non-singular matrix of order  $n$ .

$$\therefore \text{Rank of } (A+C) = n$$

$$\therefore \text{Rank } C = n - r$$

$$= n - \text{rank } A$$

Now,  $\text{Rank} \{(A+C)B\} = \text{Rank } B$ , as the matrix  $A+C$  is a non-singular and the rank of a matrix is not altered by pre-multiplication with a non-singular matrix.

$$\text{Thus, Rank } B = [(A+C)B] = \text{Rank } (AB+CB)$$

$$\therefore \text{Rank } B \leq \text{Rank } (AB) + \text{Rank } (CB) \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Again,  $\text{Rank } (CB) \leq \text{Rank } C$  [  $\because$  The rank of the product of two matrices is less than or equal to the rank of either matrix. ]

$$\therefore \text{Rank } (CB) \leq n - \text{Rank } A \quad \text{--- (3) (4)}$$

From (3) & (4) we get

$$\text{Rank } B \leq \text{Rank } (AB) + n - \text{Rank } (A)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Rank } (AB) \geq \text{Rank } A + \text{Rank } (B) - n$$

Hence proved //



Example: If  $A$  be any non-singular matrix &  $B$  be a matrix  $\exists AB$  exists, then show that  $AB$  &  $B$  have the same rank.

Sol: Let,  $C = AB$

Since  $A$  is an non singular matrix

$$\therefore B = A^{-1}C$$

Now, we know that the rank of the product of two matrices can not exceed the rank of either matrix.

$$\therefore \text{Rank } C = \text{Rank}(AB) \leq \text{Rank}(B)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Rank}(B) = \text{Rank}(AA^{-1}C) = \text{Rank}(C)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Rank}(B) \geq \text{Rank } C = \text{Rank}(AB)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Rank}(B) = \text{Rank } C = \text{Rank}(AB)$$

116

Q Show that if the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  satisfies the equi<sup>n</sup>  $A^2 = A$ . then,  $\text{rank } A + \text{rank}(I - A) = n$

Sol:  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\exists$

$$A^2 - A = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow A(A - I_n) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow A(I_n - A) = 0$$

Now, the sum of the matrices  $A$  &  $(I_n - A)$  is the matrix  $I_n$  & we know that the rank of the sum of two matrices can not exceed sum of their rank.

$$\therefore \text{Rank}[A + (I_n - A)] \leq \text{Rank of } A + \text{Rank}(I_n - A)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Rank}(I_n) \leq \text{Rank } A + \text{Rank}(I_n - A)$$

$$\Rightarrow n \leq \text{Rank } A + \text{Rank of } (I_n - A)$$

$$\therefore \text{Rank}(A) + \text{Rank}(I_n - A) = n \text{ proved. //}$$

Q If  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix, show that the rank of  $\text{adj } A$  is  $n$ ,  $1$  or  $0$  according as the rank of  $A$  is  $n$ ,  $n-1$  or less than  $n-1$ .

Sol<sup>n</sup> ① Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

$$\text{Then } A(\text{adj } A) = |A|I_n$$

$$\therefore |A| |\text{adj } A| = |A| |I_n| = |A|$$

Since the matrix  $A$  is of rank  $n$ , therefore  $|A| \neq 0$ .

$$\therefore |A| |\text{adj } A| = |A| \text{ gives } |\text{adj } A| = 1.$$

Thus, the matrix  $\text{adj } A$  is also non-singular.

Hence, it is of rank  $n$ .

② If the rank of  $A$  is  $n-1$ , then at least one minor of order  $n-1$  of the matrix  $A$  is not equal to zero. Therefore the matrix  $\text{adj } A$  will be a non-zero matrix & thus the rank of the matrix  $\text{adj } A$  will be greater than zero.

Again the rank of the matrix  $A$  is  $n-1$ .

Therefore  $|A| = 0$ . Therefore  $A(\text{adj } A)$  is a zero matrix and therefore is of rank zero.

Hence, we have

$$0 \geq \text{Rank } A + \text{Rank } \text{adj } A - n$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Rank } A + \text{Rank adj } A \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow n-1 + \text{Rank adj } A \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Rank adj } A \leq 1$$

But we have shown that  $\text{Rank adj } A > 0$

$$\text{Hence Rank adj } A = 1$$

(iii) If the rank of  $A$  is less than  $n-1$ , then all minors of order  $n-1$  of the matrix  $A$  will be zero. Therefore the matrix  $\text{adj } A$  will be a zero matrix & hence  $\text{Rank adj } A$  will be zero.